




CERTIFICATE OF MAILING BY "EXPRESS MAIL" (37 CFR 1.10)			Docket No. 13768.410
Applicant(s): Francislav P. Penov, et al.			
Serial No. 10/611,613	Filing Date June 30, 2003	Confirmation No. 1454	Examiner John H. Le
Invention: TEXT EXECUTION FRAMEWORK FOR AUTOMATED SOFTWARE TESTING			
<p>I hereby certify that the Transmittal Letter and Comments on Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance (2 pages) (in triplicate); PTOL-85B Issue Fee Transmittal; PTO Form 2038 in the amount of \$1,712.00; and postcard are being deposited with the United States Postal Service "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" service under 37 CFR 1.10 in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop Issue Fee, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on <u>January 26</u>, 2005.</p>			
<p>Kendell Steele (Typed or Printed Name of Person Mailing Correspondence)</p>			
 (Signature of Person Mailing Correspondence)			
<p>EV 384067413 US ("Express Mail" Mailing Label Number)</p>			
KCS0000004242V001			

ROCK D. NYDEGGER
BRENT P. LORIMER
THOMAS R. VUKSINICK
LARRY R. LAYCOCK
JONATHAN W. RICHARDS
DAVID R. WRIGHT
JOHN C. STRINGHAM
JOHN M. GUYN
CHARLES L. ROBERTS
DANA L. TANGREN
ERIC L. MASCHOFF
CHARLES J. VEVERKA
ROBYN L. PHILLIPS
RICHARD C. GILMORE *
STERLING A. BRENNAN
R. BURNS ISRAELSEN
DAVID R. TODD
GREGORY M. TAYLOR
DAVID B. DELLENBACH
L. DAVID GRIFFIN
ADRIAN J. LEE
FRASER D. ROY
CARL T. REED



WORKMAN | NYDEGGER

INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ATTORNEYS

1000 EAGLE GATE TOWER
60 EAST SOUTH TEMPLE
SALT LAKE CITY, UTAH 84111
TELEPHONE: (801) 533-9800
FAX: (801) 328-1707
WEBSITE: [HTTP://WWW.WNLAW.COM](http://www.wnlaw.com)

Express Mail Label No.: EV 384067413 US

PATENT APPLICATION
Docket No. 13768.410

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of:

)
Francislav P. Penov, et al.)

Serial No.:

) 10/611,613) Art Unit

Filed:

) June 30, 2003) 2863

Confirmation No.:

) 1454)

For:

) TEXT EXECUTION)
FRAMEWORK FOR)
AUTOMATED SOFTWARE)
TESTING)

Examiner:

) John H. Le)

TRANSMITTAL OF ISSUE FEE PAYMENT

Mail Stop Issue Fee
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

The enclosed Notice of Allowance and Issue Fee due is submitted herewith pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.67 and M.P.E.P 603.01 for filing in the matter of the United States patent application as hereinabove identified. Enclosed is PTO Form 2038 for \$1,712.00 for payment of the issue fee.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to credit any overpayment or charge any additional fees to Deposit Account No. 23-3178 of the undersigned. Triplicate copies of this sheet are enclosed.



R. PARRISH FREEMAN, JR.
PETER F. MALEN, JR.
L. REX SEARS, PH.D.
WILLIAM R. RICHTER
ERIC M. KAMERATH
ROBERT E. AYCOCK
JENS C. JENKINS
KEVIN W. STINGER
WILLIAM J. ATHAY
MICHAEL B. DODD
RYAN D. BENSON
SARA D. JONES
TIGE KELLER
JANNA L. JENSEN
MATTHEW D. TODD
J. LaVAR OLDHAM
MICHAEL J. FRODSHAM
JOSEPH L. KRUPA
BRETT A. HANSEN
BRETT I. JOHNSON
MATTHEW A. BARLOW
WESLEY C. ROSANDER
ANDREW S. HANSEN
CHAD E. NYDEGGER
JOSEPH G. PIA
CLINTON E. DUKE
RYAN N. FARR *
JAMES B. BELSHE
ALISON B. MOHR
KIRK R. HARRIS
KEELY SCHNEITER
MICHAEL M. BALLARD
DAVID A. JONES
SHANE K. JENSEN
JONATHAN M. BENNS, PH.D.

VERNON R. RICE §
OF COUNSEL


Please address all future correspondence in connection with the above-identified patent application to the attention of the undersigned.

Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance

Applicants respectfully submit that the claimed invention as set forth in each of the independent claims and the dependent claims must be read as a whole, and not as a single feature or subcombination of features which represent less than the entirety of the claimed invention as a whole. While a particular feature or subcombination of features referred to by the Examiner in the Statement of Reasons for Allowance may represent a basis for distinguishing the claimed invention over the prior art, Applicants further submit that this may not necessarily be the *sole* ground for distinguishing the claimed invention over the prior art of record. Accordingly, the Examiner's statement should, in Applicants' view, not be read as constituting or meaning that the invention can or should be reduced to a single "feature" of the invention or to a subcombination of features that is less than the entire invention claimed as a whole, nor that the single feature referenced by the Examiner or subcombination of features referenced by the Examiner in the Statement of Reasons for Allowance is the only or sole grounds for distinguishing the invention over the prior art of record.

Dated this eleth day of January, 200⁴5

Respectfully submitted,



RICK D. NYDEGGER
Attorney for Applicant
Registration No. 28,651
Customer No. 47973

RDN:kcs
KCS0000004240V001